## Subject: Re: How far is OO implemented in IDL? Posted by David Fanning on Wed, 05 Sep 2001 20:23:44 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mirko Vukovic (mvukovic@taz.telusa.com) writes:

> David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> writes:

>>

- >>> I know that Object Oriented Programming is supported
- >>> in IDL but I wonder how far this concept is supported?

>>

- >> Almost never as far as you would have hoped if
- >> you know much about real object-oriented programming.
- >> Remember, objects were graphed onto a language
- >> that was nearly 20 years old at the time.

>>

> stuff deleted...

- > With all due respect to David and RSI, I am wondering how valid this
- argument in defense of RSI is. So, shooting off the hip:

- > It seems that a 50 year old language is going object these days
- (fortran).

>

- > Yes, RSI will have a problem improving IDL if they keep to the old
- > core. Are we supposed to keep working with that old and tired
- > language? Will RSI get new users with such an outdated product?
- > Unless RSI works actively on rejuveniting IDL, they will loose out.

>

- > As a side-note, IDL was written in fortran 20 years ago, and
- > re-written in C some 10 years ago.

>

- > feeling much better :-), and expecting corrections to the arguments
- > above.

Before the spelling police get all over me again. I just want you to know that I know the difference between "graphed" and "grafted". But I was thinking about the guys at RSI working out the details on object implementation on graph paper and ... oh, never mind. It was 5:30 AM and I hadn't had a cup of coffee yet. :-(

But in response to Mirko (and, heaven help me, I really feel uncomfortable being in a position that appears to be defending RSI) here are some numbers:

FORTRAN Users: 1,345,493,398

IDL Users: 45,384 If you had to pick a language to completely re-write every 10 years, which would you choose? :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. Let's just say I didn't spend too much time researching the numbers above, but the IDL numbers would have to be normalized downward when you consider the number who would actually \*pay\* to have someone work on the language re-write.

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155