
Subject: Re: call_method

Posted by [Dick Jackson](#) on Fri, 07 Sep 2001 14:38:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Mark Hadfield" <m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz> wrote in message
news:001501c1374f\$113c8ed0\$d938a8c0@Hadfield...

> From: "Pavel A. Romashkin" <pavel.romashkin@noaa.gov>

>> David Fanning wrote:

>>

>>> IDL doesn't have any trouble keeping straight the difference

>>> between procedures and functions with the same name.

>>

>> Oh... This never occurred to me. I have never even attempted making a

>> pro and a function with the same name. Duh...

>

> There's no problem in *having* a procedure and a function with the same

> name. The problem is in *compiling* them both. Or to be more exact, in

> having them automatically compiled.

Just a reminder of that very handy new feature in IDL 5.4: in those cases
where you can use RESOLVE_ROUTINE, 'myroutine', if you add
/COMPILE_FULL_FILE you should get both the pro and function, no matter which
came first.

Cheers,

--

-Dick

Dick Jackson / dick@d-jackson.com

D-Jackson Software Consulting / <http://www.d-jackson.com>

Calgary, Alberta, Canada / +1-403-242-7398 / Fax: 241-7392
