Subject: Re: call_method
Posted by Dick Jackson on Fri, 07 Sep 2001 14:38:13 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Mark Hadfield" <m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz> wrote in message news:001501c1374f\$113c8ed0\$d938a8c0@Hadfield...

- > From: "Pavel A. Romashkin" <pavel.romashkin@noaa.gov>
- >> David Fanning wrote:

>>

- >>> IDL doesn't have any trouble keeping straight the difference
- >>> between procedures and functions with the same name.

>>

- >> Oh... This never occurred to me. I have never even attempted making a
- >> pro and a function with the same name. Duh...

>

- > There's no problem in *having* a procedure and a function with the same
- > name. The problem is in *compiling* them both. Or to be more exact, in
- > having them automatically complied.

Just a reminder of that very handy new feature in IDL 5.4: in those cases where you can use RESOLVE_ROUTINE, 'myroutine', if you add /COMPILE_FULL_FILE you should get both the pro and function, no matter which came first.

Cheers,

--

-Dick

Dick Jackson / dick@d-jackson.com
D-Jackson Software Consulting / http://www.d-jackson.com
Calgary, Alberta, Canada / +1-403-242-7398 / Fax: 241-7392