
Subject: Re: bug in CCM3 routine from my library
Posted by [air_jlin](#) on Thu, 20 Sep 2001 20:59:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig and David,

thanks for the encouragement! the egg-on-my-face feeling particularly is frustrating when you were hoping to offer something helpful to others, but it ends up being a drain to them. and esp. when the routine is used by other routines, and so the error becomes magnified, as in this case :(.

best,
-Johnny

Johnny Lin
CIRES, University of Colorado
Work Phone: (303) 735-1636
Web: <http://cires.colorado.edu/~johnny/>

Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote in message news:<ong09ipsb7.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu>...

> David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> writes:

>> Johnny Lin (air_jlin@yahoo.com) writes:

>>

>>> wanted to let y'all know today (19 sep 2001) i found a major bug in

>>> one of the routines i wrote to calculate anomalies from CCM3 output.

>>> the routine is CALCANOM. in short, results are entirely wrong with

>>> the program as is. more information is at:

>>>

>>> <http://www.atmos.ucla.edu/~jlin/lib.html#ccm3>

>>>

>>> am really really sorry about this error. in the future i won't put

>>> anything on the web w/o vetting it at least 1/2 year first.

>>

>> I don't know. I've always belonged to the school that

>> said plunge head-first into it while you are still

>> basking in the glow of what a hotshot programmer you

>> are. Then you not only get immediate feedback about

>> all the bugs in your code, but the humility you feel

>> goes a long way towards improving relations at home. :-)

>

> On the other hand, I've published code on my web page which was

> totally wrong, and nobody has ever reported it. A year or two later
> I've come back and slapped my head the error was so obvious. [Other
> bugs have been reported by loyal users and I thank them for it.]
>
> Craig
