Subject: Re: bug in CCM3 routine from my library Posted by air_ilin on Thu, 20 Sep 2001 20:59:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Craig and David,

thanks for the encouragement! the egg-on-my-face feeling particularly is frustrating when you were hoping to offer something helpful to others, but it ends up being a drain to them. and esp. when the routine is used by other routines, and so the error becomes magnified, as in this case:(.

best. -Johnny

Johnny Lin CIRES, University of Colorado Work Phone: (303) 735-1636

Web: http://cires.colorado.edu/~johnny/

Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote in message news:<ong09ipsb7.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu>...

- > David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> writes:
- >> Johnny Lin (air jlin@yahoo.com) writes:

>>

- >>> wanted to let y'all know today (19 sep 2001) i found a major bug in
- >>> one of the routines i wrote to calculate anomalies from CCM3 output.
- >>> the routine is CALCANOM. in short, results are entirely wrong with
- >>> the program as is. more information is at:

>>>

http://www.atmos.ucla.edu/~ilin/lib.html#ccm3 >>>

>>>

- >>> am really really sorry about this error. in the future i won't put
- >>> anything on the web w/o vetting it at least 1/2 year first.

>>

- >> I don't know. I've always belonged to the school that
- >> said plunge head-first into it while you are still
- >> basking in the glow of what a hotshot programmer you
- >> are. Then you not only get immediate feedback about
- >> all the bugs in your code, but the humility you feel
- >> goes a long way towards improving relations at home. :-)

> On the other hand, I've published code on my web page which was

- > totally wrong, and nobody has ever reported it. A year or two later
- > I've come back and slapped my head the error was so obvious. [Other
- > bugs have been reported by loyal users and I thank them for it.]

> Craig