
Subject: Re: Message From RSI VP of Engineering
Posted by [John-David T. Smith](#) on Thu, 18 Oct 2001 14:43:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Stein Vidar Hagfors Haugan wrote:

>
> JD Smith <jdsmith@astro.cornell.edu> writes:
>
>> "Bernard K." wrote:
>>>
>>> I am not familiar with command line IDL on Unix implementations. Could
>>> someone elaborate a bit more on what an Aqua-less IDL would probably
>>> look like? Just a command line tool that would resemble using the
>>> actual command line in IDL for OS9? Apart from losing the IDL
>>> developer environment and its associated menus (file edit search run
>>> project macros etc) what else would be missing?
>>
>> A Unix version for OSX would certainly not be command-line only. It
>> would probably even have an IDLDE... only it would be a Unix/X-Windows
>> program, so it would look more like a program running on Solaris or
>> Linux, and would otherwise be dissimilar from programs running under
>> Aqua.
>
> But on the other hand, you'd be able to take advantage of the best IDL
> development environment available anywhere, called idlwave, no??

I didn't want to browbeat, but, yes. Traditionally, the Mac market would probably reject something with an Emacs interface, but then again, wasn't I just arguing that the traditional Mac market is not what would fuel IDL MacOSX sales?

As a side note, I long ago asked RSI developers if the OSX version being developed would have a command-line only version available, and the answer was yes. So, it could have been the best of both worlds.

JD
