Subject: Re: A few IDL benchmarck results - question Posted by Robert Stockwell on Sun, 21 Oct 2001 18:20:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Interesting.

I can also post some numbers from the different computers I use.

But I have a question.

I have a laptop with 1.2 Ghz Pentium, 512M ram running win2000. I compared it to an Athlon 1.4 ghz, 512M ram desktop running Redhat 7.0.

Both run IDL 5.4, and execute identical code. No graphics, other than printing a line about every 5 seconds. It is all floating point calculations.

Disk access neglibable, and entire process takes about 80 M of ram.

The weird thing is that my 1.2G laptop is a little quicker than the 1.4G desktop,

in spite of the fact that my sys guy guaranteed that the athlon is screaming fast

on FP operations (faster than a 1.8Ghz pentium).

An iteration on the 1.2G takes 24 minutes, on the 1.4G it takes 27 minutes. I was expecting the 1.4G to take about 14 minutes (having been told how fast the athlon is as compared to a pentium).

Also, time_test2 takes 1.6 seconds on the 1.2Ghz laptop, and a little over two full seconds on the 1.4Ghz desktop.

So here's the question, is IDL and/or win2000 optimized for a pentium chip? Why is it so fast?

I do know that the IDLDE is way way better on win2000 than on linux, so are there compiler optimizations in IDL that only exist on win2000?

Cheers,

bob

PS I don't know if this is relevant, but on the 1.4Ghz linuz box, I pull IDL over

from another computer to run it on mine. I can't see how that makes a difference

though since it should be sitting in ram.

K. Bowman <k-bowman@null.tamu.edu> wrote in message news:181020011253237910%k-bowman@null.tamu.edu... > Here are a few results from an IDL code I use for benchmarks. It is a > System Clock CPU OS version Time (s) > Alpha DS20 667 MHz 21264 Tru64 UNIX V5.0A (Rev. 1094) > Powermac G4 867 Mhz PPC G4 Mac OS 9.2 under Mac OS X 58 > Powermac G4 500 MHz PPC G4 Mac OS 9.1 77 > Powerbook G4 400 Mhz PPC G4 Mac OS 9.1 90 > Alpha 500au 21164? Digital Unix V4.0 878 108 IRIX 6.5 IP27 > SGI O2000 180 MHz? 136 > SGI O200 180 MHz? IRIX 6.5 IP27 150 > SGI 02 IRIX 6.3 IP32 328