
Subject: Re: Simple bug in IDL 5.4 compiler under Win2000

Posted by [Rick Towler](#) on Thu, 25 Oct 2001 21:45:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

- > Regarding your question about whether this behavior is a bug, my
- > rationale for saying so is that it the offending statement contains no
- > incorrect IDL syntax that I can see.

I agree! I thought you were on to something too but I personally don't use the word since I have eaten it so much and have lost the taste for it.

Someone like Dick always comes along and points out your mistakes. If only I knew everything...

-Rick

"Joe Means" wrote in message news:3BD86BE0.10908@orst.edu...

- > Rick,
 - > Regarding your question about whether this behavior is a bug, my
 - > rationale for saying so is that it the offending statement contains no
 - > incorrect IDL syntax that I can see.
 - > Joe
 - >
-