Subject: Re: Ref Extra: BUG? (in Win2K 55b) corrected test file Posted by Mark Hadfield on Sun, 04 Nov 2001 20:54:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

From: "Martin Downing" <martin.downing@ntlworld.com>

- > ...the best way would be byreference to avoid copying. ...Are
- > you saying that this is determined purely by the definition,
- > and that calling with \_REF\_EXTRA as below
- test ref extra2, REF EXTRA=e ; Here be dragons! (indeed)
- > is supposed to be a syntax error?

Yes, that's exactly what I'm saying.

Although it is actually legal (though uncommon) to have regular keywords beginning with underscores. So I guess strictly speaking it is legal to have a regular keyword called "\_REF\_EXTRA". Not a good idea though!

- > I am concerned as to which causes passing by reference/value, the
- > definition or the call?

The definition!

Mark Hadfield m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz http://katipo.niwa.cri.nz/~hadfield National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research

Posted from clam.niwa.cri.nz [202.36.29.1] via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG