## Subject: Re: Convol with Kernel Dependency Of the Radius to the Middle Posted by Martin Downing on Wed, 31 Oct 2001 15:09:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Kay, I was wondering about a radial-proportioned linear combination of two gaussian smoothings, one for the edge and one for the middle - however the intermediate values are not a good approximation :( I'm afraid I think you will have to code it from scratch, probably with 6 for loops(!). Convolutions are easy, just for loops. - I think I'd go straight to coding it as a callable C routine, as then you wont have to worry about the many loops, I would also not bother computing a kernel as it will change too often, instead create a lookup table for your gaussian's exponents. e.g.:  $gauss_{exp}(sig = s, x = x) = GAUSS_{LUT}_{100[100*(x*x)/(2*s*s)]}$ a simple IDL-convolve algorithm is given below, youll have to modify it to include the radial bit good luck, Martin function eg\_convol3d, array, kern, debug=debug ; a simple convolution routine if keyword set(debug) then t0 = systime(1)b = array\*0.

```
sa = size(array)
sk = size(kern)
; note: ignoring edges
for k = sk(3)-1, sa(3)-1 do begin
for j = sk(2)-1, sa(2)-1 do begin
 for i = sk(1)-1, sa(1)-1 do begin
 v = 0
 for n = 0, sk(3)-1 do begin
  for m = 0, sk(2)-1 do begin
   for I = 0, sk(1)-1 do begin
    v = v + array[i-l, j-m, k-n]*kern[l, m, n]
   endfor
  endfor
 endfor
 b[i-sk(1)/2,j-sk(2)/2,k-sk(3)/2] = v
 endfor
```

endfor

```
endfor
if keyword_set(debug) then print, "convol completed in ", systime(1) - t0,
" sec
return, b
end
"Kay" <bente@uni-wuppertal.de> wrote in message
news:e143e8bc.0110310114.3cbb5c53@posting.google.com...
> Hi.
>> I assume you mean that the function that describes the 3d kernel depends
on
>> the radial (cartesian) distance of the image voxel from a point in the
>> image:
>> *pseudo formula alert!*
>> Image'(x,y,z) = Image
                            [convolved with]
                                                Kernel(R(x,y,z))
\Rightarrow where R(x,y,z) = sqrt((X-Xo)^2+(Y-Yo)^2 + (Z-Zo)^2))
> Thats right.
>> If so, I guess the question is, what is the dependency of the kernel on
R?
>> If linear then maybe the radial aspect of the kernel is separable
>
> My Proffessor had the "nice" idea, that a PET image, has a better
> resolution in the middle of the picture than on the edge for each
> slice. To simulate this he wants that the Kernel has a dependency of x
> & y (not z!) in that form that the FWHM (Full Width Half Max) of a 3D
> Gaussian Kernel increases linear with the distance from the middle.
>
> Thats a bit too much for my "weak" knowledge of IDL, I solved it in
> that form, that i convol the whole stuff 10 times and then copy
 not as smooth as it should be.
> I think I have to write a complete new convol function :-((
 smile
> thanks for the answer.
> Kay
```