Subject: Re: IDL Memory Leaks
Posted by David Fanning on Tue, 06 Nov 2001 18:16:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JD Smith (jdsmith@astro.cornell.edu) writes:

- > Correction, kindly pointed out by an RSI developer... the feature
- > introduced to put a halt to David's perverse misuse of base widgets was
- > *handles*, not pointers. Interestingly, he also filled me in that
- > handles in fact *were* base widgets internally, stripped of everything
- > by the uvalue. I had obviously attempted to elide those from my memory,
- > and not without due cause. Let's hope we remember handles only as a
- > curious side-excursion in IDL history, and insist on pointers in all our
- > code.

While we are getting a history lesson, I'll just point out that using unrealized base widgets as pointers, handles, or whatever, was not my idea. Like most of my really good ideas, I stole this one from someone smarter than me, Josh Goldstein, who was one of the best IDL programmers I ever saw. He could write IDL code faster than I could think!

I admit that I publicized this perverse idea, but only because I found it useful for building weird data sets and I found the notion of "pointers" helpful in flogging IDL programming courses.

I still run into an occasional program that uses unrealized base widgets in this way. And I'm happy to say those programs still run. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155