
Subject: Re: IDL Runtime

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 14 Nov 2001 23:31:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Andre Kyme (nak@imag.wsahs.nsw.gov.au) writes:

> Thanks for the replies re RUNTIME and RETALL.

Oh, oh. I was afraid of this. :-(

> Perhaps my problem is some confusion relating to how XMANAGER works. As
> far as I can understand if I create a bunch of buttons under a TL base,
> then call XMANAGER, the program is 'hung' in a loop checking whether
> events are being processed. So far OK?

Well, not exactly. There isn't any "loop" to get hung up in, really. I prefer to think that an officer of the court has been assigned to "pay attention", but it's probably a matter of semantics, and it doesn't really matter which metaphor you prefer to use.

> If one of the buttons is clicked,
> then we jump to the event handler for that button; but suppose that the
> event handler calls an event-processing routine that calls another, that
> calls another etc. - so we end up a few levels deep in the processing of
> the event. I have used modal prompts along the way in this event
> processing so that the user can decide "Yes" "No" "Maybe" and so on. One
> option I want to give them is "Cancel back to the bunch of buttons."
> Retall seemed a useful way of getting back to main program level, which am
> I right in saying will enter us back into the XMANAGER loop ready for the
> next event??

No, I don't think so. I really think it is a minor miracle that your program works at all, but I believe it is because widget programs don't really need to use XMANAGER. (And I really don't want to get into any of this at all.) I think your program works, but for the wrong reason, I guess is what I am trying to say.

> David says, "Try a more conventional way than using retall" -
> what is the conventional way of returning from deep in event-processing
> programs back to the menu buttons?

The "conventional" way of writing widget programs is to get into and out of event handlers as fast as possible, not to spend the rest of the afternoon in there trundling around. But I guess I can see

some sort of hierarchical data structure that might require something like you describe. Maybe you want to build a data structure based on the user's answers to questions, or something like that.

If that is the case, then I think you don't have to do anything special. It doesn't matter how deep you go in an event handler, you don't get out of the event handler until your return from all those levels. I guess what you are trying to do is short-circuit all those intervening levels.

I write most of my modal widgets with CANCEL keywords attached to a Cancel button so I can check to see if the user wanted out of a dialog without being penalized:

```
dataStruct = AskQuestion('Want a foo field?', Cancel=cancelled)
IF cancelled THEN RETURN ELSE $
  dataStruct = Create_Struct(dataStruct, foo, Fltarr(12))
```

My dialogs are set up so that if the user kills the dialog with the mouse, it is the same as hitting a cancel button.

Perhaps if these functions were a set of nested functions something like this would be able to set the cancel flag all the way back to the original event handler level.

(I don't know. It is hard for me to envision exactly what you have. And I certainly don't want to write an example program.)

Maybe you just need to re-think your program design, although I'm sure you don't want to hear that after writing what is, I am sure, a complicated program.

Good luck with this.

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
