

---

Subject: Re: Ongoing Object Graphics Quest  
Posted by [Martin Downing](#) on Mon, 19 Nov 2001 10:15:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi David,

Nice program, however I noticed two things you might want to change if your not tired of the project yet :)

- 1) The rubber band currently includes the next pixel above and to the right of the defined area.
- 2) The extent of contrast and brightness stretching appears to be restricted when the left image has been zoomed

cheers

Martin

"David Fanning" <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message  
news:MPG.16620d0932648f9498977f@news.frii.com...

- > Folks,
- >
- > I've been on a bit of a quest lately to learn
- > more about object graphics. I continue to be
- > amazed at how hard it is to figure everything
- > out. Partly this is because object graphics are
- > so haughty. When you make an absolutely asinine
- > mistake, they just stare at you with this incredibly
- > disgusted look on their face. They don't say \*anything\*,
- > unlike direct graphics, which sometimes deign to
- > send you a cryptic error message that can occasionally
- > get you back on track.
- >
- > We spend an inordinate amount of time just
- > staring at each other, although I usually have
- > more of a blank look on my face than an intimidating
- > one. :-(
- >
- > Anyway, to solve a problem for a client, and to
- > give myself a challenging programming exercise
- > I decided to work on an image processing application
- > that would allow me to interactively set the
- > contrast/brightness (also called the window/level)
- > of an image. Several weeks ago I reported on a
- > similar program I had written in direct graphics.
- > (That direct graphics program, WindowImage, has
- > been upgraded, incidentally, as a result of lessons
- > learned in the past couple of days.)
- >

> Because I has already \*mostly\* solved the contrast/  
> brightness problem and I (naively) believed that  
> converting that to object graphics wouldn't be much  
> of a challenge, I decided to make the problem more  
> difficult. I also wanted to be able to zoom the image  
> "in place", and in a way that preserved the aspect  
> ratio of the zoomed image subset. This was a  
> bigger challenge because laying things out in  
> object graphics windows (at least according to all  
> the examples RSI provides and my own experience)  
> is one gigantic pain in the ol' wazoo. I wanted to  
> develop a rational way of doing this that I could  
> explain to someone.  
>  
> Finally, I wanted to know how to have several  
> "views" of data in one graphics window, and how  
> to interact with those views independently. (Had  
> I thought about this for longer than five minutes I would  
> certainly have given the whole project up as hopeless  
> before I went to all this trouble.)  
>  
> The result is a new program on my web page, named  
> ContrastZoom.  
>  
> <http://www.dfanning.com/programs/contrastzoom.pro>  
>  
> There are three "views" in the window. The view on  
> the left is the zoom window. You can draw a rubberband  
> box about a portion of the image that you want to  
> see closer up. Although I don't zoom into the image,  
> I take that portion and display it in the same location  
> in the graphics window in a way that preserves its  
> aspect ratio. I call this "zoom in place", because  
> the effect is to zoom into a particular location. You  
> can go back to the entire image by just clicking and  
> releasing the cursor in that window.  
>  
> The center "view" or image is the image that you  
> use to adjust the contrast/brightness of the  
> image. Dragging the cursor horizontally sets the  
> brightness or level. Dragging the cursor vertically  
> sets the contrast or window. Clicking and releasing  
> will set the original values of 25% contrast and  
> 75% brightness.  
>  
> What I particularly like about this program is that  
> the colorbar in the third "view" on the right  
> reflects the current window and level. I know

> I will offend you medical guys with some color,  
> but the rest of us can see this better by running  
> the program with a red-temperature color table like this:  
>  
> IDL>ContrastZoom, Colortable=3  
>  
> I understand that this is not the best program I've  
> even written. But I spent most of 10 days writing it,  
> and I think even as it is, it might save someone else  
> a heck of a lot of time. I already have ideas for how  
> the program can be improved if I decide to put the  
> lessons learned here in a book.  
>  
> (By the way, I didn't get anyone responding with  
> suggestions for improving my previous contrast/brightness  
> algorithm, so I had to do it myself. I'm still not  
> totally in love with it, but it's getting better  
> every time I work on it. At least with the color bar  
> feedback, I can tell it works now the way I expect  
> it to work. That's something, anyway.)  
>  
> As always, I appreciate the feedback.  
>  
> If you haven't visited my web page in a while,  
> you can find several new programs at the usual place:  
>  
> <http://www.dfanning.com/documents/programs.html>  
>  
> Cheers,  
>  
> David  
>  
> --  
> David W. Fanning, Ph.D.  
> Fanning Software Consulting  
> Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: [david@dfanning.com](mailto:david@dfanning.com)  
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>  
> Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

---