Subject: Re: Use of Temporary() vs an Optimised Compiler Posted by Craig Markwardt on Tue, 27 Nov 2001 05:42:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Martin Downing" <martin.downing@ntlworld.com> writes:

- > Here's a thought for the day:
- > we have all had to get used to using the temporary function to enable memory
- > efficient code. Some of us less effectively than others!
- > ie: instead of
- a = 2*a + b/a
- > write
- a = 2*a + b/TEMPORARY(a)>

>

- > Personally although good practice I find it makes code hard to read. Who
- > agrees that this could and should be dealt with at the compilation stage,
- > obviously if A is being reassigned then the previous contents are lost so
- > the compiler could reuse A when processing the last copy of A on the right
- > hand side. Would that be so hard for RSI to implement?

I agree, Martin. A compiler writer would know exactly when a variable on the right hand side is being reassigned. However, your example points out at least one of the problems.

$$>$$
 a = $2*a + b/TEMPORARY(a)$

Since A appears twice on the right hand side, the compiler would need to be smart enough to not overwrite A after its first appearance. In fact, I am not sure that IDL makes any guarantees about order of evaluation and side effects. Isn't it possible that the TEMPORARY() gets called before the first A is evaluated? [Not sure on this, but that's why I avoid the situation.]

Second of all, if the compiler automatically TEMPORARY()'ed every variable that was reassigned, it makes debugging harder. What if your expression was:

$$>$$
 a = $2*a + F(B)$

If F(B) crashed after 2*A was evaluated, then there may be no way to recover the original value of A. So, there would probably need to be a "debug" vs. "performance" compilation flag.

Craig			

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response