
Subject: Re: Automatic truncation of trailing dimension.....
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2001 01:48:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tam <tam@lheapop.gsfc.nasa.gov> writes:

> Paul van Delst wrote:
>>
>>of an array when the dimension size is 1 is a real pain in the ass. Given:
...
>
> Under our previous president I guess I would have said
>
> "I feel your pain"
>
> but now it's
>
> "This is evil."
>
> You might find:
>
> < <http://groups.google.com/groups?q=dimension+trailing&hl=en&group=comp.lang.idl-pvwave&rnum=8&selm=onIngh jin1.fsf%40cow.physics.wisc.edu>>
>
> to be helpful.

What he said, errr, I mean, what I said.

Actually, that particular thread was a little more specialized than Paul's complaint. Paul, I totally agree with you that dropping the final unit-dimension is bogus, but the quoted post was premised upon it happening, and then showing that there were *still* surprises after that.

For example, the statement "A = DBLARR(N1, N2, N3)" can surprisingly produce a two- or one-dimensional array!

Or, REFORM() does not work on scalars.

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response
