Subject: Re: IDL as programming language? Posted by scisoft on Fri, 09 Sep 1994 21:11:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Beau Paisley (harmonic@world.std.com) wrote:

- : In article <34fhs7\$ak8@nkosi.well.com>,
- : Mike Boucher <scisoft@well.sf.ca.us> wrote:

: >

- : >Another alternative is the IDL and PV-Wave interface to the optimized and
- : >parallelized math library that our company sells. Dr. Thompson is
- : >certainly correct that A=B*C is a faster matrix multiple than the
- : >equivalent nested loops, but CALL GEMM(A,B,C) is about three times
- : >faster than that even on a single-CPU box. On the multi-processors,
- : >we're unbeatable.

: >

- : >scisoft@well.sf.ca.us for more information, end of plug.
- : I would be interested in seeing your timings. To date we haven't
- : seen any of the "matrix languages", (i.e. IDL, MATLAB, Gauss, etc.) that
- : do matrix multiplication faster than O-Matrix.

What is O-Matrix? Is it similar to IDL and PV-Wave?

- Mike