
Subject: Re: Two-page PostScript output
Posted by [David Shadovitz](#) on Fri, 04 Jan 2002 17:29:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Good call, Bill!

I had tried to simplify the code shown in my snippet. The actual code (which I inherited) doesn't call the Contour procedure directly. The code within the loop over images actually looks like this:

```
; Keep the color bar from erasing the classifications
!P.NoErase = 1
VSPlot, info
!P.NoErase = 0
```

I did not realize that Erase or !P.NoErase would have an impact on the pagination.

It's a pleasure learning from you all.

-David

William Thompson wrote:

```
> Paul van Delst <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> writes:
>
>> David Shadovitz wrote:
>>>
>>> I'd be thrilled if anyone could help on this one...
>>>
>>> I'm trying to write a two-page PostScript file, with one image per
>>> page. The following code almost works; it writes both images
>>> superimposed on one page.
>>>
>>> Set_Plot, 'PS', /Copy
>>> Device, filename='C:\file1.ps'
>>> for ilmage=0,1 do begin
>>>   Contour, imageData(ilmage), ...
>>> endfor
>>> Device, /Close
>
>> When I do things like the above, the graphics are on separate pages. Maybe
>> it's a windows thing.
>
>> IDL> print, !version
>> { x86 linux unix 5.4.1 Jan 16 2001    32    32}
>
> Most likely, David has !P.NOERASE set to something other than zero, for some
```

> reason. That's the only thing I can figure. The simplest solution is to set
> it back to !P.NOERASE=0. Explicitly calling ERASE, as has already been
> suggested, is another solution.
>
> Bill Thompson
