
Subject: Re: Subject : locks, semaphores, and such
Posted by [Ken Mankoff](#) on Tue, 29 Jan 2002 17:20:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 29 Jan 2002, Nigel Wade wrote:

> Ken Mankoff wrote:

>

>> On Tue, 29 Jan 2002, Martin Downing wrote:

>>> "Ken Mankoff" <mankoff@I.HATE.SPAM.cs.colorado.edu> wrote in message

>>>> [snip]

>>>> Actually, you gave me a very good solution. I will append the users IP

>>>> address to the directory. That way, I only have to worry about users

>>>> from the same IP accessing the page in the same second. This is much

>>>> less likely. Also, rather than use SYSTIME(1) to get the seconds, I

>>>> will use a millisecond timestamp.

>>>

>>> Systime(1) seems to have a resolution of roughly 10 milliseconds (at

>>> least on my system). Whats the timestamp you are thinking of which gives

>>> millisecond steps?

>>

>> I get 1 second resolution (I think) using the IDL systime(1) command.

>> I had not yet found a way in IDL to get higher resolution timestamps,

>> and am currently looking into getting them from a spawned command in

>> unix.

>>

> If you're going to spawn a process, why not base the directory name on the

> PID of the spawned process, and return the directory name to IDL? Two

> concurrent processes cannot have the same PID.

>

This would work too... a brief experiment tells me that I do not know
how to capture a pid through IDL. Do you have any ideas (or code? :)

Thanks,

Ken Mankoff

--

Kenneth Mankoff

LASP://303.492.3264

<http://lasp.colorado.edu/~mankoff/>

<http://lasp.colorado.edu/snoedata/>

<http://lasp.colorado.edu/marsrobot/>
