Subject: Re: Subject: locks, semaphores, and such Posted by Nigel Wade on Tue, 29 Jan 2002 16:42:34 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ken Mankoff wrote:

- > On Tue, 29 Jan 2002, Martin Downing wrote:
- >> "Ken Mankoff" <mankoff@I.HATE.SPAM.cs.colorado.edu> wrote in message
- >>> [snip]
- >>> Actually, you gave me a very good solution. I will append the users IP
- >>> address to the directory. That way, I only have to worry about users
- >>> from the same IP accessing the page in the same second. This is much
- >>> less likely. Also, rather than use SYSTIME(1) to get the seconds, I
- >>> will use a millisecond timestamp.

>>

- >> Systime(1) seems to have a resolution of roughly 10 milliseconds (at
- >> least on my system). Whats the timestamp you are thinking of which gives
- >> millisecond steps?

>

- > I get 1 second resolution (I think) using the IDL systime(1) command.
- > I had not yet found a way in IDL to get higher resolution timestamps,
- > and am currently looking into getting them from a spawned command in
- > unix.

>

> Ken Mankoff

>

If you're going to spawn a process, why not base the directory name on the PID of the spawned process, and return the directory name to IDL? Two concurrent processes cannot have the same PID.

Nigel Wade, System Administrator, Space Plasma Physics Group, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK

E-mail: nmw@ion.le.ac.uk

Phone: +44 (0)116 2523568, Fax: +44 (0)116 2523555