Subject: Re: Naive pointer question ?

Posted by btupper on Sun, 27 Jan 2002 22:17:06 GMT

On 26 Jan 2002 15:16:17 -0600, Craig Markwardt
<craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote:

>
> I appreciate that, however, common blocks appear to be the only way to
> give a *function* persistent memory. If you use an object or a
> pointer instead, you still have to pass this info into the function on
> every call.

Hi Craig,

Ah! I hadn't thought of that. Well, no objection here!

Does it count if the object contains the function as a method?

Ben