

---

Subject: Re: All I get is gray scale (and IDL 5.5)  
Posted by [Ruediger Kupper](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2002 13:15:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

David Fanning wrote:

> Yes, something is not right. I'd slip a  
>  
> DEVICE, TRUE\_COLOR=24  
>  
> into an IDL start-up file. I don't think you  
> want a Direct Color visual. :-(

Hi Gary and David,

now, perhaps there is a possibility for me to understand this issue, since I tried several times and never managed. I encountered the same problem as Gary, and I (heuristically) found the same solution for it, i.e., keeping IDL from allocating a Direct-Color visual by explicitly requesting a True-Color one. Then, upgrading to IDL5.5, all my colors were scrambled again. After a series of tests, I discovered that now I need an additional DEVICE, BYPASS\_TRANSLATION=0 call to turn on IDL's internal color translation table, AFTER the first window has been opened. (The translation table is enabled right after requesting the True-Color visual, but it switches to bypassed when the first window opens. Although this was already the case with IDL 5.4, the TV command obviously didn't bother - It does with IDL 5.5.)

Okay. Now, if anyone of you can help me with the following questions, I would greatly appreciate:

First question: If I "don't want a Direct-Color visual", why does IDL want it? As this is the order in which IDL tries to allocate: Direct-Color first.

Second question: I did not find any way how to use the Direct-Color visual at all, but perhaps I still don't understand it right - What exact difference is there between Direct-Color and True-Color, and how would IDL access the two different modes? Reading the IDL documentation I get the impression that everything should work fine - why doesn't it?

Third question (related to this): How and when is IDL's internal translation table used on a 24-bit display? The documentation is not very helpful, as it refers to 8-bit displays (in which case I do understand what it means).

Actually, the documentation says:

(1) "By default, the translation tables are used with shared and static color tables. When using displays with private color tables, the translation tables are bypassed." While also stating

(2) "When a private or static color table is initialized, the bypass flag is cleared. It is set when initializing a shared color table."

Now this gives us something to think about :-).

Fourth question: Even if we don't understand why it does, what it does - is there any way to determine a configuration that works, without having to try them all out? We use IDL in our group, with a central startup file, and on many different machines (Windows NT, Intel Linux, DEC Alpha Unix, DEC Alpha Linux, 8-bit and 24-bit graphics cards), and, for licensing reasons, IDL versions ranging from 5.5 back to 5.2. Do I really have to ask every single user to try, until he finds a configuration that works on his system? I would expect a high-end visualisation tool like IDL to work without every single user needing to know the internals of his graphics hardware.

Fifth question: Does RSI give any statement on this (sorry to say so) absolutely weird color management on X systems?

Please excuse me sounding a bit frustrated (or "stupid", as Gary put it), but I actually feel like it :-).

I'm using DECOMPOSED=0, by the way, but this should not really matter here.

Thank you in advance for any helpful comments,  
Regards,  
Rüdiger.

---