Subject: Re: prime factors (was Re: All day FFT....)
Posted by Robert Stockwell on Sat, 09 Feb 2002 00:14:05 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

NICE! blows the doors off factors().

You know, the biggest integer 2ull^64 only
can have a factor of up to sqrt(2ull^64) 4,294,967,296, so
one can make a complete table of primes. That would be smokin!

Check out:
 http://primes.utm.edu/links/lists_of_primes/small_primes/fir st_n_primes/

There is a link to the first 98 millin primes.
Sure the prime_factors.pro file may be a bit large,
but disk space is cheap.

Cheers,
bob

PS
Let me guess, you tested your function under Win2000 on
your dual boot machine, and Martin's under Linux. :)

PPS has anyone coded up the Multiple Polynomial Quadratic Sieve integer
factorization algorithm for IDL?

Brian Jackel wrote:

> Hi Bob, Martin
>
> Here's my contribution to the prime number wars.
> Not recursive, I'm afraid.
>
> A single benchmarks shows it as being 10% faster
> than Martin's code. Your mileage may vary.
>
> IDL> num= 124123L*7L*3L*5L & st= systime(1) & for indx=0,9999 do dummy=
> ifactors(num) & print,systime(1)-st
>   6.6720001
> IDL> num= 124123L*7L*3L*5L & st= systime(1) & for indx=0,9999 do dummy=
> prime_factors(num) & print,systime(1)-st
>   5.5940000

```
>
>
>  ;All bug reports cheerfully accepted
>
>  ;Brian Jackel
>
>  ;bjackel@phys.ucalgary.ca
>
>  ;+
>
>  ; NAME:    Prime_Factors
>
>  ;
>
>  ; PURPOSE: This function accepts a single (scalar) value, and returns a
>
>  ;         vector containing all the prime factors of that value.  This
>  is
>
>  ;         useful for seeing if FFT's will be fast, or reducing
>  fractions.
>
>  ;
>
>  ; CATEGORY: Math
>
>  ;
>
>  ; CALLING SEQUENCE:  Result= PRIME_FACTORS(Value)
>
>  ;
>
>  ; INPUTS: Value   a scalar byte, integer, or long integer value.
>
>  ;
>
>  ; KEYWORDS: SORT  if set, then the result will be sorted in increasing
>
>  ;               order.  Otherwise, factors may be scattered in no
>
>  ;               particular order.
>
>  ;
>
>  ;        UNIQUE  if set, then the result will only contain one of each
>
>  ;               factor ie. multiple occurances will be removed.  This
>
```

```
> ;               is done using the library function UNIQ.  Note that
>
> ;               this requires SORTing.
>
> ;
>
> ; OUTPUTS: The result of this function will be a vector containing all
>
> ;         prime factors of the input value.  If the input value is
>
> ;         prime, then the result will have only one element, equal
>
> ;         to the input.
>
> ;
>
> ; RESTRICTIONS: Fastest if no prime factor is greater than 97, quite
> slow
>
> ;               after that, approximately order(sqrt(N)), where N is the
>
> ;               largest prime factor.
>
> ;
>
> ;               Only works for positive numbers.
>
> ;
>
> ; PROCEDURE:  Do a fast search for all primes up to 97, then slowly loop
>
> ;            through the rest (if any).
>
> ;
>
> ; EXAMPLES:
>
> ;
>
> ;IDL> test=PRIME_FACTORS(1L)  &  PRINT,test
>
> ;       1
>
> ;
>
> ;IDL> test=PRIME_FACTORS(5414145L) &  PRINT,test
>
> ;       3       5       11      19      11      157
```

```
>
> ;
>
> ;IDL> test=PRIME_FACTORS(5414147L)  &  PRINT,test
>
> ;        5414147
>
> ;
>
> ; MODIFICATION HISTORY:
>
> ;  Written February 14 1995, Brian Jackel, University of Western Ontario
>
> ;   September 3 1995 Bjj  Increased the list of primes to 97, improved
> the dumb
>
> ;                       loop considerably: O(n) to O(sqrt(n)/2)
>
> ;                       Screened input better, added /SORT and /UNIQUE
>
> ;-
>
>
>
>
>
> FUNCTION PRIME_FACTORS,value,SORT=sort,UNIQUE=unique
>
>
>
>  IF (N_PARAMS() LT 1) THEN MESSAGE,"Error- this function requires a
> scalar input parameter"
>
>  IF (N_ELEMENTS(value) GT 1) THEN MESSAGE,"Error- this function only
> accepts scalar input"
>
>  IF (value EQ 0) THEN BEGIN
>
>    MESSAGE,'Warning- input value was zero ',/INFORMATIONAL
>
>    RETURN,[0L]
>
>  ENDIF
>
>  IF (value LT 0) THEN MESSAGE,'Warning- input value was
> negative',/INFORMATIONAL
>
>
```

```
>
>   IF ((value - LONG(value)) NE 0) THEN BEGIN
>
>       MESSAGE,"Warning- Value should be an integer, but is
>  "+STRING(value),/INFORMATIONAL
>
>       RETURN,[1L]
>
>   ENDIF
>
>
>
>   work= ABS(value)      ;make a working copy
>
>   factors= value/work   ;1 (or maybe -1) is always a factor, albeit a
>  trivial one
>
>
>
>  ;
>
>  ;For this first bit we just have a list of prime numbers (up to 97),
>
>  ;and check if "work" is divisible by any of them.  If so, make a note
>
>  ;of it, and divide "work" by the appropriate factors.  Repeat until
>
>  ;"work" is no longer divisible by anything in the list.  This either
>
>  ;means that we've got all the factors, or the remaining ones are
>
>  ;larger than 97.
>
>  ;
>
>   some_primes=
>   [2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47,53,59,61,67,71,73, 79,83,89,97]
>
>
>
>   REPEAT BEGIN
>
>     w= WHERE( (work MOD some_primes) EQ 0 ,nw )          ;see if any
>  thing in the list matches
>
>     IF (nw GT 0) THEN BEGIN
>
>       some_primes= some_primes(w)                       ;throw away
```

```
>  everything but the prime factors
>
>      factors= [factors,some_primes]
>
>      temp= some_primes(0)
>
>      FOR indx=1,nw-1 DO temp=temp*some_primes(indx)
>
>      work= work/temp                          ;divide the
>  working value by all prime factors
>
>    ENDIF
>
>   ENDREP UNTIL (nw EQ 0)
>
>
>
>   ;
>
>   ;At this point we've found all the prime factors up til 97.
>
>   ;Not having any better idea, I'll just keep trying to divide "work"
>
>   ;by larger and larger numbers, until I've removed all the factors,
>
>   ;or the Universe ends.
>
>   ;
>
>   ;Really, we should only be trying to divide by prime numbers, but if
>
>   ;I had a quick way to test the primeness of numbers I'd be rich and
>
>   ;famous by now.
>
>   ;
>
>   ;Note, however, that even numbers aren't prime, so we can halve the
>
>   ;search space by concentrating only on odd numbers.  We really should
>
>   ;also ignore anything that ends in 5, but that actually slows things
>
>   ;down a bit.  Ideally we would use a base 6 number system, which would
>
>   ;allow us to ignore 2/3 of the numbers instead of 1/2 or 6/10.
>
>   ;
```

```
>
>   ;Also, we can only have to search up to SQRT(work), which changes the
>
>   ;time from O(n) to O(sqrt(n)), a significant improvement.
>
>
>
>   upper_limit= FIX( SQRT(work) + 1 )    ;highest number to check, about
>  SQRT(2^31)=45000, so worst case should still be pretty fast
>
>   current_try= 101L
>
>   WHILE (current_try LT upper_limit) DO BEGIN
>
>
>
>     IF ((work MOD current_try) EQ 0) THEN BEGIN
>
>       nfactors= 0
>
>       REPEAT BEGIN
>
>         work= work / current_try
>
>         nfactors= nfactors+1
>
>       ENDREP UNTIL (work MOD current_try) NE 0
>
>       factors= [factors, REPLICATE(current_try,nfactors)]
>
>       upper_limit= FIX( SQRT(work) + 1 )
>
>     ENDIF
>
>
>
>     current_try= current_try + 2L
>
>
>
>   ENDWHILE
>
>
>
>   ;At this point, if "work" isn't 1, then it must be prime.
>
>   ;Also, throw away the first element in "factors" (was a
>
```

```
>   ;  dummy 1) unless the input value was simply 1.
>
>   ;
>
>   IF (work NE 1) THEN factors= [factors,work]    ;anything left at this
>  point must be a prime
>
>   IF (value NE 1) THEN factors= factors(1:*)
>
>
>
>
>
>   IF KEYWORD_SET(SORT) OR KEYWORD_SET(UNIQUE) THEN factors= factors(
>  SORT(factors) )
>
>   IF KEYWORD_SET(UNIQUE) THEN factors= factors( UNIQ(factors) )
>
>
>
>
>
>  RETURN,factors
>
>  END
>
```