
Subject: Re: Behaviour of REFORM() changed in IDL 5.5?
Posted by [Georg Wiora](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:32:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Am Mon, 11 Feb 2002 06:29:05 -0700, hat Robert Stockwell
<rgs1967@hotmail.com> geschrieben:
> when its in a functionm (IDL5.5 linux). Is there perhaps
> another operation you perform that implicitly
> causes "IMAGE" to get rid of the single dimension?
> For instance, in the example below, multiplying image by 2
> causes the extra dimension to be dropped.

Thanks Bob!

That was really a good hint. I did a REVERSE() on the index
with size one. That made it vanish. But still strange, that
it worked before...
