
Subject: Re: Behaviour of REFORM() changed in IDL 5.5?
Posted by [Robert Stockwell](#) on Mon, 11 Feb 2002 13:29:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Georg Wiora wrote:

```
> Am Fri, 08 Feb 2002 11:15:02 -0700, hat Robert Stockwell
> <rgs1967@hotmail.com> geschrieben:
>
>> Georg Wiora wrote:
>>
>>> Here is my example:
>>> array = INDGEN(100)
>>> image = REFORM(100,1)
>>>
> Sorry for the Typo :-\
> It's obviously
> image = REFORM(array,100,1)
```

Yes, I noticed that you must have meant the above :)

```
>> Hi Georg,
>>
>> What os are you? A Windows one? Unfortunately my win2000
>>
> I have a WIN 2000 system.
>
> When I try it on the command line, it works fine, but not in
> my application. Probably it is just some instability problem.
```

WOW, that would indeed be a serious bug. Can you post the offending code (shortened down as much as you can)?

I did a quick test, and reform seems to work fine for me when its in a functionm (IDL5.5 linux). Is there perhaps another operation you perform that implicitly causes "IMAGE" to get rid of the single dimension? For instance, in the example below, multiplying image by 2 causes the extra dimension to be dropped.

Cheers,
bob

```
function test_reform
```

```
array = INDGEN(100)
image = REFORM(array,100,1)
help,image
```

```
newimage = image*2
help,newimage
```

```
return,image
```

```
end
```

```
; main level code
```

```
r = test_reform()
help,r
```

```
end
```

Results:

```
IMAGE      INT      = Array[100, 1]
NEWIMAGE   INT      = Array[100]
R          INT      = Array[100, 1]
```
