

---

Subject: Re: Object/Direct Graphics Question

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 13 Feb 2002 13:55:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

parrhasius (parrhasius@altavista.com) writes:

- > I've gone back and read all the posts I could find on object graphics
- > vs direct graphics and I still can't decide which one is better for
- > what I'm trying to do. Does object graphics have any advantages at
- > all for working in 2D? The general consensus, at least a year ago,
- > seemed to be that it was best to use direct graphics for this kind of
- > thing, but RSI's own XROI program is written using object graphics.
- > Since it's a 2D drawing program, would it have been better/faster/more
- > maintainable if it were written using direct graphics and object
- > programming techniques, as David Fanning likes to suggest?

I think when object graphics were first introduced  
it was more clear-cut which graphics system should  
be used. If the project was 2D, stick to direct graphics.  
If it was 3D, use object graphics.

That decision is MUCH harder today, partly because the  
object graphics system has improved, computers have gotten  
faster, graphics card seem to have a better handle on  
OpenGL than they used to, etc. Today, I often have a  
hard time deciding which graphics system to use, but  
more and more I opt for object graphics. They are, at the  
end of the day, awfully powerful.

(The only clear-cut decision is when the project requires contour plots  
or map projections. Then direct graphics is still the only answer,  
in my opinion. Although I have to admit, I sometimes take  
advantage of the contouring infrastructure available in  
the object graphics library for doing ROI-like things.  
But labeled contour plots much be done in direct graphics  
or not at all.)

- > The program I'm writing is not much more complex than XROI: I need to
- > plot, manipulate and erase maybe 10 ~100-vertex polylines on top of
- > ~640X400 images, but there is an order to the display of the
- > polylines--some of them must always be drawn on top of others, etc,
- > and when one is erased anything underneath it must be restored. So
- > what's faster: a call to TV and then 10 100-vertex PlotS calls in
- > direct graphics, or drawing an IDLgrImage and then drawing 10
- > 100-vertex IDLgrPolylines in object graphics? More to the point,
- > since drawing such a simple system is probably fast enough using both
- > methods, which graphics option makes more sense given this problem?

Since this is not the sort of thing I normally do, I wouldn't know how to advice you. I expect speed would not be an issue with this few number of polygons in either system. Because it is often easier (I.e., takes far fewer lines of code) to write in direct graphics, I'd probably explore writing a direct graphics object in this case. It might be easier to handle the polygon manipulations in object methods, for example. But I'm pretty sure there is no "best" way to do this. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: [david@dfanning.com](mailto:david@dfanning.com)

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

---