
Subject: Re: Vectorizing Code

Posted by [Jeff Guerber](#) on Thu, 28 Feb 2002 00:00:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 27 Feb 2002, Steve Jones wrote:

```
> Is it possible to vectorize a simple double for-loop?
>
> for i=0,nstate-1 do begin
>   for j=0,nvec-1 do begin
>     sa(i,j)=10.^2*exp(-abs(i-j)*dz/h)
>   endfor
> endfor
>
> I tend to write a large number of such loops and my indexes have been
> steadily increasing of late... Is there a faster alternative? Thanks
> in advance
```

I'm not sure about completely vectorizing this particular case, but two things that should help:

- 1) Exchange your i and j loops, since in IDL as in Fortran the leftmost index varies fastest. (See the current thread titled "IDL2MATLAB".)
- 2) Move the invariant terms outside the loops:

```
d=lonarr(nstate,nvec)
for j=0,nvec-1 do begin
  for i=0,nstate-1 do begin
    d(i,j) = i - j
  endfor
endfor
sa = 10.^2*exp(-abs(d)*dz/h)
```

(Hmmm. You may be able to create (using `indgen` and `replicate`) two appropriate `nstate-by-nvec` arrays, one for `i` and one for `j`, then subtract those... In this case, I'm not sure that would be faster, though.)

By the way, `^` has higher precedence than `*`, so I think you may want to say `10.^(2*exp(...))` [note the extra set of parentheses] instead. What you wrote is equivalent to `100.*exp(...)`.

Another tip: If your indices might exceed 32767, be sure to write your loops "for i=0L, ...", otherwise the index will be an `int` instead of a `long`. That one's bitten me, and it's painful!

Jeff Guerber
