
Subject: Re: Multithreading

Posted by [Rick Towler](#) on Tue, 19 Mar 2002 20:35:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Karl Schultz" <kschultz@devnull.researchsystems.com> wrote in message news:a77j60\$ijh\$1@news.rsinc.com...

> Rick, nothing in Object Graphics is threaded, except IDLgrVolume, which was
> actually threaded before the other threading was added in 5.5. Volume
> rendering is just one of those things that has a major and obvious payback
> for a threaded implementation.

Yeah, I knew that. That is why I tried to stress that my "benchmarks" were poor tests of IDL's multithreading. I was just wondering if I would see any difference in my applications which use many of the functions and procedures that are multi-thread aware. I think that this was the intent of the original post.

Since Nigel set me straight on reading some data, I do have some high-res bathymetric data (4.4E6 vertices) which I need to triangulate and run thru trigrid. Since neither triangulate nor trigrid are written in IDL they don't benefit from any of the multithreaded routines. I did notice that min certainly performs as advertised.

I think that the threaded routines are a good starting point. I suspect that with each version more routines will be added and more code will benefit. Until then spend the money on a faster single CPU, more memory, and your IDL maintenance!

-Rick
