Subject: Re: rebin question Posted by Jonathan Joseph on Fri, 22 Mar 2002 19:20:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks, that's seems more reasonable than what I was thinking. It still uses a loop, but only over the number of elements in the box. I think I can definitely adapt this strategy to my needs.

## -Jonathan

```
Vince wrote:
  Sorry. I see the hassle now.
>
>
  I just did a quick search for 'rebin' at
   http://www.astro.washington.edu/deutsch/idl/htmlhelp/index.h tml and
  maybe you should take a look at 'boxave'?
> On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 13:40:32 -0500, Jonathan Joseph <jj21@cornell.edu>
  wrote:
>
>> It looks nice doesn't it, and I did write a procedure for the simple
>> case of averaging, but it's not as clean cut as you indicate:
>> 1. first one needs to get the type of the incoming image - you don't
     want to round the result of a floating point type image - that
     would give you the wrong result.
>>
>> 2. conversion should be done to double precision floating point
     (not float) otherwise large long integers will lose precision.
     loss of precision for large L64 integers will occur even with
>>
     conversion to double, so they can't be handled properly at all.
>>
>> 3. need to convert back to the proper type, so your solution
     should be wrapped by a fix(..., type=type)
>>
>> 4. instead of a rebin, there is now a rebin, two type conversions
     and a round, which will slow things down and use more memory.
>>
>> So, it is a hassle.
>> But yes, it's still not difficult to write a function to handle the
>> SIMPLE case of averaging for CERTAIN data types. But that does not
>> help with the problem of writing a more general function that handles
>> downsampling using median or downsampling using a mean excluding
>> outliers (pixels with values far from the mean) or downsampling using
>> your favorite method. Doing this guickly in IDL means doing it
```

```
>> w/o loops, so while conceptually the problem is not difficult, it
>> does seem somewhat more difficult to do it properly in IDL.
>>
>> Anyone out there thought about this problem before?
>>
>> -Jonathan
>>
>> Vince wrote:
>>>
>>> print, round(rebin(float([5,5,5,5,4]),1))
>>>
>>> Hassle?
>>>
>>> Maybe you could write a function. Which leads me to a new question:
>>>
>>> Is it possible to define a function or procedure in IDL that can take
>>> an arbitrary number of arguments, e.g.:
>>>
>>> function my_rebin, a, arg1, arg2, ...
>>>
          return, round( rebin( float(a), arg1, arg2, ... ) )
>>>
>>> end
>>>
>>> On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 11:58:41 -0500, Jonathan Joseph <jj21@cornell.edu>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> I figured I would use rebin to downsample an image by averaging the
>>> pixels in blocks of specified size. What I discovered, was that for
>>> integer type images, rebin averages the pixels, but then instead of
>>> rounding to the nearest integer, simply takes the integer part of
>>>> the average. Hence:
>>>>
>>> print, rebin([5,5,5,5,4], 1)
>>> gives the result of 4, not 5 which is what I would like. I suppose
>>>> this is done for speed - to work around the problem. I need to convert
>>>> to a floating point type, do the rebin, then round, then convert back
>>>> to the proper integer type - a hassle.
>>>>
>>>> But, I would really like a more generic way of doing downsampling
>>> of this sort, without the high overhead of a loop. Apart from
>>>> taking the mean of a block of pixels, I would also like the option
>>> of downsampling using the median of a block of pixels, or using the
>>>> mean of a block of pixels disregarding the farthest outlier (or
>>>> n outliers).
>>>>
>>>> Has anyone written IDL code to do downsampling in a more generalized
>>>> way than rebin, or have any clever ideas about how to do it quickly?
```

Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive