
Subject: Re: Examine "Saved" IDL procedures now too!

Posted by [R.Bauer](#) on Tue, 26 Mar 2002 14:23:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ronn kling wrote:

>
> Good Morning All,
>
> As someone who does try to make money writing IDL code, here is my opinion.
>
> First, I think this is just a variation of the old "open source"/"closed
> source" debate.

Yes you are right and IDL is a commercial product (not open source).
Some of us produces open source with IDL but some others are not.

I am most interested into the data interface to the sav files.

- 1) I'll like to write this binary by some of my instruments and if so
I don't need any reading routines written for those files.
This was for me a reason to look at these files too but Craig was
much faster.
- 2) Sometimes it makes working with sav files much easier to know before
reading
what is saved there.
- 3) During writing you could select what is written, why not for reading
too?

Ok now we are at this point that it seems to be illegal to get these
information.

To solve this problem I'll suggest that's Craigs sav handling routines
should build
into the RSI IDL with his Copyright notice. (About payment they should
discuss)

regards

Reimar

--

Reimar Bauer

Institut fuer Stratosphaerische Chemie (ICG-I)
Forschungszentrum Juelich
email: R.Bauer@fz-juelich.de

a IDL library at ForschungsZentrum Juelich
[http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg1/idl_icglib/idl_lib_intro.h tml](http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg1/idl_icglib/idl_lib_intro.html)
=====
