Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NAN Posted by Craig Markwardt on Sat, 06 Apr 2002 15:46:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kenneth	Bowman	<k-bowma< th=""><th>n@null</th><th>.tamu.edu></th><th>writes:</th></k-bowma<>	n@null	.tamu.edu>	writes:

- > In article <onu1qpzubn.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu>,
- Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote:

- >> I could easily argue the other way, in terms of reasonableness. The
- >> sum of "no" numbers is zero.

- > Zero is a number. How can the sum of no numbers be a number?
- > If there are no numbers how can there even be a sum?

I think either value is a "reasonable" return from TOTAL, zero or NaN, in the case you describe. The real issue is that it's not documented.

Now where did I put that box of cupcakes...

Craig

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response