
Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NAN

Posted by [Paul van Delst](#) on Thu, 04 Apr 2002 21:52:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mark Hadfield wrote:

>

> "Paul van Delst" <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> wrote in message

> news:3CAC9914.B52D1669@noaa.gov...

>> Seems reasonable to me. It only sums the data not flagged as missing. If

>> all the data is missing, the sum of nothing is, well, nothing.

>

> And IDL normally uses NaN ("Not a Number") to represent nothing.

Huh. I though that's what zero was for. Or is a throwback from Roman times:

... -V -IV -III -II -I NaN I II III IV V

paulv

--

Paul van Delst Religious and cultural
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP purity is a fundamentalist
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274 fantasy
Fax:(301)763-8545 V.S.Naipaul
