
Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NaN

Posted by [Mark Hadfield](#) on Thu, 04 Apr 2002 21:06:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Paul van Delst" <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> wrote in message
news:3CAC9914.B52D1669@noaa.gov...

> Seems reasonable to me. It only sums the data not flagged as missing. If
> all the data is missing, the sum of nothing is, well, nothing.

And IDL normally uses NaN ("Not a Number") to represent nothing. I rest
Kenneth's case

Though one could argue that since the IDL language is just a collection of
special cases, cobbled together over the years and thinly papered over in
places, one cannot say anything about what it *normally* does. Perhaps the
RSI developers could start to prove me wrong by fixing this anomaly.

--

Mark Hadfield

m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz

Ka puwaha et tai nei

<http://katipo.niwa.co.nz/~hadfield> Hoea tatou

National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)
