

---

Subject: Re: ROUTINE\_INFO problems

Posted by [David Burridge](#) on Thu, 11 Apr 2002 16:08:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Oops - sorry to reply to my own post, but I made an error:-)

It *still* doesn't work for system stuff (which was the point, I guess), even though I'm checking for it. My test case, DIST, is of course source code so it worked nicely. PLOT etc look pretty impossible. I'll let you know if I find anything more.

Cheers,

Dave

"David Burridge" <davidb@clogic.f9.co.uk> wrote in message news:Rmit8.13177\$51.441837@wards...

> Hi Guys,

>

> Having *just* finished a routine to do exactly this I stumbled on your question. Glad I didn't see it before .... I think!-)

>

> You need to use the /SYSTEM keyword to ROUTINE\_INFO and, assuming you want to resolve it first, the /IS\_FUNCTION keyword to RESOLVE\_ROUTINE .....

both

> of which you need to know in advance. I managed to sidestep that by putting

> a couple of catches in the code so that if it failed to find a procedure routine, it searched the functions next.

>

> Anyhow, the net result is a routine that'll tell you the positionals and keywords for any named routine (I have a similar thing for objects). If you're still interested I'd be happy to send the code off list. And the keyword inheritance thingy ..... not a clue:-) (Maybe an answer lies around

> in the \_STRICT\_EXTRA stuff, but solving this bit is enough for me!

>

> Cheers,

>

> Dave

>

> "Ted Cary" <tedcary@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> news:3CB4EB28.B1B5854E@yahoo.com...

>>

>>

>> Mark Hadfield wrote:

>>

>>> I think the answer is "Just because".

>>  
>> Man, that's always the answer. But thanks again for the help. At least  
|  
>> won't spend more time barking up the wrong tree. I had a feeling it was  
a  
>> longshot.  
>>  
>> Ted Cary  
>>  
>>  
>  
>

---