
Subject: Re: Examine "Saved" IDL procedures now too!
Posted by [mvukovic](#) on Thu, 11 Apr 2002 15:11:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

See below for small correction ...

David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message
news:<MPG.171ec2cfec4185b3989882@news.frii.com>...

> Michael Lefsky (lefsky@fsl.orst.edu) writes:

>

>> I for one would have been glad to have such a tool. Many of the most
>> useful programs I have developed started with a section, or more, of
>> RSI's supplied ".pro" code. Increasingly, it seems, RSI is
>> distributing functions and procedures as .sav files, and of course
>> envi is distributed this way too. The takes away much of the utility
>> of these programs for me. I pay for the programs, and associated
>> fees, and gladly, because they allow me to do my work. But now this
>> functionality is being reduced, via these .sav files. Seems to me that
>> if things continue in this direction, languages like Python will
>> become a more desirable alternative.

>

> Off the top of my head the only thing I can think of
> (besides ENVI, which is in another category altogether)
> that RSI ships as save files are those damn Live Tools.
> I'm pretty sure no one in his

... or her ...

> right mind wants to look
> at that code. :-)

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

... which we attribute to Monty Python -- Life of Brian :-)
