Subject: Re: EXTRA inheritance crashing IDL Posted by Mark Hadfield on Thu, 11 Apr 2002 03:44:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"David Fanning" <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message news:MPG.171e9d5d95b9c99c98987f@news.frii.com...

- > Rob Preece (Rob.Preece@msfc.nasa.gov) writes:
- >> Has anyone seen a behavior where IDL 5.5 crashes on a call to a
- >> subroutine with an added EXTRA keyword? I have found the same
- >> behavior on several different machines. Linux and Mac. which does
- >> not exist under 5.4.

- > Well, yes, it crashes IDL 5.5, but not IDL 5.4. But I don't think
- > you can hold RSI responsible for using their keyword inheritance
- > mechanism in such a bogus way. Formatting the keywords you want to
- > pass as a structure (the way this was meant to work) is error free:

- PRO test1, _REF_EXTRA = extra
- testStuff = {TITLE:'bob',XTITLE:'jim',YTITLE:'mary'}
- test2, INDGEN (10), _Extra=Create_Struct(testStuff, 'XRange',[0,5])
- > END

But Rob was trying to supply keywords to test1 and have them passed to test2. And the way he did it is valid according to the IDL docs. See heading "Keyword Inheritance", subheading "Selective Keyword Redirection", where they give the example

```
PRO SOMEPROC, REF EXTRA = ex
ONE, EXTRA=['MOOSE', 'SQUIRREL']
TWO, _EXTRA='SQUIRREL'
END
```

(Yes, it is odd that the variable name ex is not used.)

Mark Hadfield m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz

Ka puwaha et tai nei

http://katipo.niwa.co.nz/~hadfield Hoea tatou

National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)