

---

Subject: Re: color\_quant(...., Cube=6) makes white white, but ...  
Posted by [nobody@nowhere.com](mailto:nobody@nowhere.com) (S) on Mon, 29 Apr 2002 16:33:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It's not that I consider it difficult, it just isn't very easy to exchange these types of files. Most of the authors I would give these figures to may not appreciate the fact that though the screen image looks \_bad\_, the printed output will look better. A lot of conference proceedings now use a web-submission process that converts the file to pdf, since I'm not the one who will submit the document, I'm concerned that a .ps figure would not fare well through this process.

On Mon, 29 Apr 2002 12:41:23 +1200, Mark Hadfield <m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz> wrote:

> "Steve Smith<steven\_smith>" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message  
> news:slrnacjgsk.30q.nobody@pooh.nrel.gov...

>> as an aside, I'm trying to give people graphics that would insert in  
>> Word documents easily. Does IDL for Windows have a vector based  
>> image format (like WMF, EMF) that I can write to? My IDL 5.2 for  
>> Linux doesn't have (or appear to) anything but CGM.

>

> Am I correct in deducing that you don't consider it to be easy to  
> insert Postscript into Word documents?

>

> I use IDL 5.5 on Windows, which supports output to WMF, but when I  
> want to insert a graphic into a Word document, my preferred format is  
> EPS with a TIFF preview. As I'm sure you're aware this gives very good  
> output on a Postscript printer and it's possible to make the preview  
> resolution high enough for the figure to be recognisable on the  
> screen.

>

> --

> Mark Hadfield            "Ka puwaha et tai nei, Hoesa tatou"

> m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz

> National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)

>

>

--

Steve S.

steve@NOSPAMmailaps.org  
remove NOSPAM before replying