

---

Subject: Re: Irregularly spaced tick-marks on secondary axis.  
Posted by [Ken Mankoff](#) on Thu, 02 May 2002 14:52:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 2 May 2002, Paul Van Delst wrote:

> Ken Mankoff wrote:

>>

>> On Wed, 1 May 2002, Paul Van Delst wrote:

>>> FUNCTION wticks, axis, index, value

>>> wavelength = 10000.0d / value

>>> format = '( f5.2 )'

>>> RETURN, STRING( wavelength, FORMAT = format )

>>> END

>>>

>>> PLOT, x, y, XSTYLE = 8

>>> AXIS, XAXIS = 1, \$

>>> XRANGE = !X.CRANGE, \$

>>> XTICKV = 10000.0d/[ 10d, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 ], \$

>>> XTICKS = 5, \$

>>> XSTYLE = 1, \$

>>> XTICKFORMAT = 'wticks'

>>>

>>> As you can see, the above AXIS command assumes something about the

>>> XTICKV values. However, if I now decide to zoom into the plot such

>>> that the x-range falls between, say, 11 and 12 microns -- no

>>> wavelength scale is shown since XTICKV doesn't contain fractional

>>> wavelength values. Is there any way to get IDL to set "nice"

>>> wavelength values (via a dynamic XTICKV for e.g.) on the top scale

>>> based on the wavenumber range on the bottom scale?

>>

>> Can't you make the inputs to XTICKV a function call (as you say, a

>> "dynamic XTICKV"), and use !X.CRANGE in that function?

>>

>> This seems fairly straightforward, so if this is not the solution,

>> please clarify a bit...

>>

> Sort of, but I want the tickmarks on the upper axis to be, um,

> visually pleasing. So I would like 10, 11, 12, 13, etc microns (

> which will be irregularly spaced), rather than the wavelength

> equivalent of the frequency ticks, which would be regularly spaced

> but would be numbers like 8.3333..., 10, 12.5, 16.666..., and 25

> microns for frequencies of 1200, 1000, 800, 600, and 400 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

>

> I spent about half-a-day on this yesterday and it is not an

> straightforward problem. What one needs is some sort of algorithm

> that, given the \*regularly spaced\* wavelength ticks [8.3333..., 10,

> 12.5, 16.666..., and 25] produces an array of "prettified" tick

> values such as [10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 25]. Note that the tick

- > interval changes to allow for the 1/x spacing otherwise the tick
- > marks will all be smooshed together at the long wavelength end of
- > the axis. And to make it general, it also has to work when you have
- > fractional wavelengths (e.g. for plots between 4.0 and 4.5 um). I'm
- > sure this sort of stuff/algorithm exists (IDL has one for its
- > plots), I just don't know where to find it and I don't want to
- > reinvent it (coz I'll more than likely do a crappy job).

I would do this:

- 1) Plot your data on frequency, from f0 to f1
- 2) Make a 2nd array of frequencies, from f0 to f1, with 10 sub-values  
IDL> f = makex( f0, f1, (f1-f0)/10. )
- 3) convert f to um.  
You now have your secondary X-AXIS, with its non-linear values spaced evenly 10 times over the plot. This is true regardless of your original axise being 10 to 1e3 or 14.001 to 14.003. The only problem is that these values are "ugly" fractions. At least, from my understanding of the problem, this is the only fault so far.
- 4) prettify your 'um' array via CIEL(), FLOOR() and ROUND(). For the case where you have all floats, a float-type ROUND() is:  
IDL> pretty = FIX( ugly \* 10 ) / 10.  
now pass this to XTICKN and XTICKV

Step 4 is a bit (only a little bit) dynamic, in that a ROUND() will work for a large frequency range, a FIX(ugly\*10)/10. for a small frequency range, and a FIX(ugly\*100)/100. for a very small range.

----

EX (cut-n-paste):

NOTE that if you use the 2nd frequency (f = [14.001,14.003]), then your "prettify" code (the um2= section) needs to change...

```
f = [ 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 ]*10
;f = [ 14.001, 14.003]
plot, f, indgen(6), xst=8
f0 = f[0] & f1 = f[ n_elements(f)-1 ]
ff = makex( f0, f1, (f1-f0)/10. )
um = 10000d / ff
xr = [ um[0], um[ n_elements(um)-1 ] ]
```

```
;;; this part is a function of your "zoom" level (the range of f)
;um2 = round(um)          ; big f
um2 = fix( um*10 )/10.    ; small f
;um2 = fix( um*100 )/100. ; teeny-tiny f
```

```
axis, /xaxis, xrange=xr, /xst, xtickv=um2, xtickn=strtrim(um2,2)
; xtickn should use a FORMAT statement to look prettier...
```

-k.

--

-----  
Ken Mankoff <http://lasp.colorado.edu/snoe/>  
<http://lasp.colorado.edu/mars/>  
<http://lasp.colorado.edu/~mankoff/> <http://lasp.colorado.edu/marsrobot/>  
-----

---