

---

Subject: Re: fast image display

Posted by [Dan Larson](#) on Wed, 15 May 2002 23:33:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <abukaq\$1n4k\$1@nntp6.u.washington.edu>, rtowler@u.washington.edu says...

>  
>> I think you're wrong there, Rick. (Gee I never thought I'd get to say  
>> that!) XINTERANIMATE generates off-screen pixmaps and then brings them  
>> onto the screen with DEVICE, COPY=... and this is faster than calling  
>> TV for every frame.

>  
> That's the last time I make a guess! (Well, ok it isn't.) I assumed that  
> the limiting factor would be bandwidth to the graphics buffer but obviously  
> TV does something else to slow drawing down. And doing the math it doesn't  
> really add up. But maybe Dan could be using a 486 with 1MB ISA video card.  
> It's possible! ;)

>  
> -Rick

>  
>  
>  
>  
>

I'm not using a 486 :). However, I have tried the approach that several people suggested, Xinteranimate. This routine works fine for about 100 image frames. Larger number of frames leads to the following error message:

"%window: unable to open pixmap" OR  
"unable to allocate memory for backing store. Window closing."

This is a problem I have encountered before with Xinteranimate. Changing the backing store (RETAIN) doesn't really alleviate the problem. In addition, I don't know how to add color with Xinteranimate.

It could be I'm asking for too much to use pixmaps on such large arrays...

Dan

---