
Subject: Re: fast image display

Posted by [Mark Hadfield](#) on Wed, 15 May 2002 20:17:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Rick Towler" <rtowler@u.washington.edu> wrote in message
news:abu5nk\$f0e\$1@nntp6.u.washington.edu...

> The rate that TV can write images to the screen is dependent on your
> hardware and the images you are displaying. I just displayed a
> 768x512x24 image using tv at 25 fps so real-time playback is
> reasonable if you have sufficient juice in your PC. I don't think
> there is a faster way to display something other than using direct
> graphics...

I think you're wrong there, Rick. (Gee I never thought I'd get to say
that!) XINTERANIMATE generates off-screen pixmaps and then brings them
onto the screen with DEVICE, COPY=... and this is faster than calling
TV for every frame.

So, as Reimar suggested, Dan should definitely check out
XINTERANIMATE.

--

Mark Hadfield "Ka puwaha et tai nei, Hoesa tatou"
m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz
National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)
