
Subject: Re: Registration of 3D shells?

Posted by [Dick Jackson](#) on Fri, 17 May 2002 14:47:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks, that's the direction I'm looking at with Constrained_Min and TNMIN.
Choosing a subset of points might keep it tractable.

"Anne Martel" <anne.martel@nottingham.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:a4bf6780.0205170135.37e51c32@posting.google.com...

> The registration of 2 surfaces is quite a common problem in medical
> image registration. One successful approach is that proposed by Charles
> Pelizzari (JCAT, 1989, 13:20-26) where you try to fit one surface on
> top of the other like fitting a hat on a head. The most efficient way
> to do this is to generate a distance map (sometimes called a chamfer
> map) using one surface and then rotate the other surface so that the
> distance between any point on the rotated surface and the stationary
> surface is minimised. You usually only need to calculate the distance
> for a subset of the surface points. The only problem with the
> algorithm is that it can converge to a local minimum (like putting on
> the hat back to front)

>

> "Dick Jackson" <dick@d-jackson.com> wrote in message
news:<3cQE8.82791\$GG6.7187426@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>...

>> "Craig Markwardt" <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote in message
>> news:onptzxkpqu.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu...

>>>

>>> "Dick Jackson" <dick@d-jackson.com> writes:

>>>

>>>> Hi all,

>>>>

>>>> I'd like to know if anyone has any experience to share on
registration

>> of 3D

>>>> shells. That is, if you have two IDLgrPolygons (or Surfaces) that
are

>>>> 'snapshots' of the surface of an object, which:

>>> ...

>>>

>>> Hi Dick--

>>>

>>> Are these 2d or 3d data sets? When you say surface that could be an
>>> isosurface within a 3d data volume, or simple the surface $z = f(x,y)$
>>> of a 2d data set.

>>>

>>> I think registration of 2d data sets is commonly done with a cross
>>> correlation.

>>>

>> Yes, they are generally like a $z = f(x,y)$ surface, in that a surface

doesn't

>> wrap around behind itself. With some datasets we have regular (x,y),

>> sometimes not.

>>

>> As I understand it, cross correlation could find the best x-y translation

>> with regular (x,y), but we have rotation and translation in 3D to contend

>> with. My solution will need 6 parameters, can cross correlation help out

>> here?

>>

>> Thanks for your interest!

>>

>> Cheers,
