

---

Subject: Re: Object programming with data...

Posted by [Randall Skelton](#) on Sun, 19 May 2002 21:22:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In response to some of my own questions I found a post from Ken Knighton in 1996 that demonstrates one way of emulating operator overloading using IDL functions... I'm open to other suggestions.

Cheers,  
Randall

-- From way, way back in 1996 --

```
> The answer to this will have to be on the installment plan. :-)
>
> 1) Polymorphism
>
>   a. Functions/procedures can be called with a variable number of
>       formal parameters.
>
>   b. Since identifiers are dynamically typed, a single func/pro
>       can be devised that performs an operation on a variety of
>       input argument types.
>
> The following tiny function shows how, by virtue of the fact that
> IDL is dynamically typed, functions can be designed with varying
> types and numbers of parameters. Note that type checking could
> be added to this function to produce errors if incompatible data
> types were used. Or, one could use the CATCH statement to react
> to any errors that may occur (such as failure to convert a string
> to a number if mixed strings and numbers were being used).
>
> ;Trivial, contrived, and useless example of "polymorphism" in IDL.
> FUNCTION Add, p1, p2, p3, p4, p5, p6, p7, p9, p10
>
>   IParams = N_PARAMS()
>
>   CASE IParams OF
>
>     2L: xSum = p1+p2
>     3L: xSum = p1+p2+p3
>     4L: xSum = p1+p2+p3+p4
>     5L: xSum = p1+p2+p3+p4+p5
>     6L: xSum = p1+p2+p3+p4+p5+p6
>     7L: xSum = p1+p2+p3+p4+p5+p6+p7
>     8L: xSum = p1+p2+p3+p4+p5+p6+p7+p8
>     9L: xSum = p1+p2+p3+p4+p5+p6+p7+p8+p9
>    10L: xSum = p1+p2+p3+p4+p5+p6+p7+p8+p9+p10
```

>  
> ELSE: MESSAGE, 'Must use 2 through 10 parameters.'  
> ENDCASE  
>  
> RETURN, xSum  
> END  
>  
> There are also ways of doing the above without using a CASE statement.  
> One of these is to use the EXECUTE command and a FOR loop:  
>  
> xSum = p1+p2  
> FOR i=3, IParams DO BEGIN  
> aExec = 'xSum = xSum + p'+STRTRIM(i,2)  
> IErr = EXECUTE(aExec)  
> ENDFOR  
>  
> Of course, the case statement runs much more quickly and is more  
> obvious in its logic. However, the EXECUTE statement has its place  
> and provides on-the-fly compilation and execution of statements.  
>  
> If you call the above function using a variety of input types, you will  
> soon notice that the actual parameters can be of any numeric or string  
> type and can be either scalars or arrays. If strings and numerics are  
> mixed, then the strings must be able to convert to numeric type. One  
> can not use structures in the above example, but one could modify this  
> code to check for structures using the SIZE function and then take  
> action accordingly.  
>  
> As you can see, it is fairly easy to write one function that takes  
> care of a wide variety of possibilities for input arguments.  
>  
> I'll try to continue this discussion later. Any feedback is welcome.  
> If someone has a better example, please post.  
>  
> Ken Knighton                    knighton@gav.gat.com    knighton@cts.com  
> General Atomics  
> San Diego, CA  
>

---