Subject: Re: Array Subscripting Puzzle Posted by JD Smith on Wed, 22 May 2002 21:12:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, 17 May 2002 14:18:15 -0700, Richard Younger wrote:

```
> I came up with an answer for a [3,800,600] image, but couldn't quite
```

> wrap my head around the [800,600,3], so I swapped:

```
> image = TRANSPOSE(image, [2,0,1])
```

>

color vec = [255, 255, 0]

> mask = [[3\*indices], [(3\*indices + 1)],[(3\*indices + 2)]] image[mask] =

> \$

> REBIN(TRANSPOSE(color\_vec), N\_ELEMENTS(indices), 3)

>

- > It certainly would be uglier if you made it all one line (well, two with
- > TRANSPOSE). Someone clever could probably swap around the index order
- > on the image to eliminate that transpose and pretty up the mask
- > construction, too.

Ahh yes, you point out a simplification in my index computation for 3x800x600.

$$3*(y*s[0]+x)==3*inds$$

So that I could have written:

```
image[rebin(1#(3*inds),3,n)+rebin(indgen(3),3,n)]= $ rebin([255,255,0],3,n)
```

Notice that Richard's example proves the point that you can use any convenient intermediary format: he chose nx3, in contrast to 3xn for this problem.

JD