Subject: Re: Defining constants in IDL? Posted by jeyadev on Tue, 25 Jun 2002 17:37:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
In article <B93A247B.5660%ronn@rlkling.com>,
ronn kling <ronn@rlkling.com> wrote:
> Hi Marshall,
> Actually there is something similiar to including a header file. What you
> need is a file with no header (i.e. pro or function as the first line) and
> no end statement. For example,
>
> a = 0
> True = 1
> False = 0
> ves = 'YES'
> could be saved in a file called constants.pro.
> To include this in your own code you would do this.
> pro myProcedure, p1, p2
> @constants
>
> ...
>
> end
> The @ sign acts as an include statement to the compiler.
Alas, it fails with PV-Wave. Does anyone have a workaround?
  kaveri 193% cat junk.pro
  a = 1.0
  b = 2.0
  c = 3.0
  kaveri 194% cat try.pro
  pro try, x
  @ junk
   print, x + a
   print, x + b
    print, x + c
```

end

WAVE> .run try

% Input line is too long for input buffer of 511 characters.

% Compiled module: TRY.

WAVE>

Not good, eh? Thought it was my file try.pro that was the problem. Turns out that it is not. Commenting the line out makes the file 'compile'.

I must say that the lack of this facility in very irksome. It is one of the great adavantages of Python, which, though similar to IDL/Wave has distinct advantages with namespaces, etc. There are many times that I would like to call a Wave "main" programme from another (just as I would Unix scripts) and not being able means doing some of the work with Python, etc.

Surendar Jeyadev jeyadev@wrc.xerox.bounceback.com

Remove 'bounceback' for email address