

---

Subject: Re: Fast Implementation

Posted by [Sven Geier](#) on Tue, 09 Jul 2002 21:45:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Isa Usman wrote:

```
> Hi,
>
> I have the bit of code below which calculates the number of points in all
> four quadrants of a 2d space. Unfortunately my arrays are very large and
> it takes quite a while to run. Is there a way of making the code faster.
>
> Thanks in advance!
>
> Isa
> @@@@ @@@@ @@@@ @@@@
> ;Data samples
> for j=0L,n1-1 do begin
>   x0=X(j)
>   y0=Y(j)
>
>   index=where(X gt x0 and Y gt y0,count1)
>   index=where(X lt x0 and Y gt y0,count2)
>   index=where(X lt x0 and Y lt y0,count3)
>   index=where(X gt x0 and Y lt y0,count4)
>
>   na=count1
>   nb=count2
>   nc=count3
>   nd=count4
>
>
>   points(j,0:3)=float([na,nb,nc,nd])/n2
>
> endfor
```

Just to get that straight: You have n1 points, where n1 is large and for each point you want to know how many of the \*other\* points are above/below/left/right of it, right?

I am tacitly assuming here that you are using reals or doubles, i.e. there is rarely ever an event with the exact same X[] or Y[] as another (right? wrong?)

Step one: If you sort your arrays according to one of the coordinates, the answer for that coordinate will just be the index:

```
Xs = sort(X)
Xn = x[Xs]
Yn = Y[ys]
```

Then  $X[i]$  has  $(i-1)$  other points with  $X < X[i]$

Step two: for each point you only need to know how many other points are greater in  $Y$ , since you know the total number of points (and whatever isn't greater would have to be less or equal). I.e. if

```
na = where(Yn[0:i-1] lt Yn[i]) ; don't need to check the [i+1:~] elements
      ; because the sorting put those in the
      ; other X half-plane
```

Then

```
nb = i - na
```

IFF you can tolerate a "le" for one half of your quadrants.

---