Subject: Re: saving variables between calls to a procedure? Posted by JD Smith on Thu, 01 Aug 2002 18:04:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 31 Jul 2002 21:13:56 -0700, David Fanning wrote:

> JD Smith (jdsmith@as.arizona.edu) writes:

>

- >> I just realized a factor of two increase in speed in a case of very
- >> repetitive use of the a=[a,b] mechanism with vectors of length 10 or
- >> less!

>

- > Alright, I concede that this is probably not fast, although the vectors
- > I use this technique with always have fewer than 100 elements, and I've
- > always found it to be fast enough. (I just shake my head at the reports
- > of people who have tried it with vectors of length 10 bazillion or so,
- > and have been disappointed.)

>

> But I stand by my statement that it's neat. :-)

It is neat, very neat. I use it all the time, and rarely have to optimize around it (here it was occuring hundreds of thousands of times...). Growable arrays make life so much easier, obviating chunkier implementations, like linked-lists, for almost all cases. Imagine how much neater it would be, however, if not only could you grow your arrays (on either end), but such operations would occur in O(1) time, independent of the array size. This is an array feature already present in a variety of other languages.

JD