
Subject: Re: SVDFIT Problems

Posted by [William Clodius](#) on Fri, 06 Sep 2002 17:08:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bill wrote:<snip>

>
> This discussion then prompted me to look more closely at IDL's code. In that code
> the determination of singularity uses the condition
> `small = where(VARIANCE lt max(VARIANCE)*THRESH, count)`
> where apparently THRESH is intended to replace Numerical Recipe's TOL. However in
> my old Fortran version of Numerical Recipes, (I don't have a C version readily
> available), the condition is actually equivalent to
> `small = where(SINGULAR_VALUE lt max(SINGULAR_VALUE)*TOL, count)`
> While the variance is related to the singular value through, the V matrix they are
> not linearly related (the variance is linearly related to the INVERSE SQUARES of
> the singular values) and there is no reason to expect that the two conditions
> would be equivalent. The call to NR__SVDFIT that does the actual fitting in
> SVDFIT does not return the singular value matrix or the V matrix so I cannot
> currently do a detailed analysis, but I suspect the comparison is incorrect.

As I seem to be in a posting mood note that

`small = where(VARIANCE lt max(VARIANCE)*THRESH, count)`
identifies as small those quantities with the smallest magnitude variances. If
relative magnitude (i.e., units) can be ignored, the current code reports as singular
precisely those quantities that are best determined given the uncertainties in the
input data, while singular is intended to identify those quantities that may be badly
determined due to numerical problems.
