
Subject: Re: Namespaces (redux)

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 25 Sep 2002 13:56:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JD Smith (jdsmith@as.arizona.edu) writes:

> Other suggestions or additions?

I support JD's suggestions completely, especially Number 2:

- > 2. If not using objects, imitate their namespace parsimony by
- > consistently prepending a class-like prefix to all related routines...
- > e.g. tvRubberBandBoxDisplay.pro, or tvRBandBox_display.

You can't believe how many widget programs I see where this practice is not followed. How many QUIT procedures can we have in this world? It's remarkable that these programs ever work at all.

I'd make just one other suggestion: sleep on your naming decision overnight before you make it public. Once it is out there, it is pretty much out there forever. There is no going back, ever, which can lead to all kinds of embarrassment and extra work. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
