Subject: Re: Where vs Histogram vs ?? Posted by R.G. Stockwell on Thu, 17 Oct 2002 13:18:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Andrew Cool wrote:
> Hello All,
   At the moment I'm doing something like this :-
>
>
    start year = 2000
>
    end year = 2002
>
    start_day = 120
>
    end_day = 133
>
    start_half_hr = 0
>
    end_half_hr = 47
>
               = 1
    WRF
>
    FREQ
               = 2
    start beam = 0
>
    end beam
                 =3
>
    nominated parameter = 2
>
>
    index = Where(!database.year GE start_year AND $
>
            !database.year LE end year
                                        AND $
>
            !database.day GE start_day
                                        AND $
>
            !database.day LE end_day
                                        AND $
>
            !database.beam GE start_beam AND $
>
            !database.beam LE end beam
                                           AND $
>
            !database.half_hr GE start_half_hr AND $
>
            !database.half hr LE end half hr AND $
>
            !database.WRF EQ WRF AND $
>
            !database.FREQ EQ FREQ AND $
>
            !database.parameter(nominated parameter) NE
> bad_data_value)
>
```

A quick suggestion, change year, day, and half\_hr into julian day number, and thus reduce three searches into one.

index = Where((!database.time GE start\_time) and (!database.time LT end\_time),count)

BUt, if you REALLY want eye crossing speed, don't search on the array of structures. Create an array of julian days (of the same size as database) and search that for the index with which to use on the database array. Here are the time differences, the code snippet that produced it is below.

IDL> .GO

time elapsed for where function array of struct 0.14732301 time elapsed for where function array of struct 0.036754966

Another suggestion, you could always make your data base a "real" database and use SQL style queries. This would require some programming outside IDL (or getting Dataminer, etc.)

Cheers, bob stockwell

## PS

A small note, you use "GE start" and "LE end", so you may possibly include the "end" in two subsets of the data (i.e. as the highest in one subset, and as the lowest in the next subset). You might want to look at using "GE start" and "LT end" (note LT rather than LE). This would only be a problem if you are counting through starttimes in a loop or something like that.

; START CODE SNIPPET THAT COMPARES A WHERE OF an array of ; structures, vs a simple array

```
: 0
data_st = {YEAR}
                             ,$; 136 days over 12 years
            DAY
                   : 0
                                ,$; 0..47
            HALF_HR : 0
            RANGE IDX:0
                                 ,$; 0..267
                              ,$; 3 possible values
            WRF
                    : 0B
                              ,$; 4 possible values
            FREQ
                     : 0B
                     : 0B
                               .$: 4 possible values
            BEAM
                    : 0B
                              ,$; Padding to align byte
            PAD
            Parameter: FLTARR(5)}
len = 999999L
database = Replicate(data st,len)
array = dblarr(len)
for i = 0L, len-1 do begin
database[i].year = (randomn(seed,1))[0]
array[i] = (randomn(seed,1))[0]
endfor
```

```
t0 = systime(1)
w = where(database.year gt 0.5,count)
print,'time elapsed for where function array of struct',-t0 + systime(1)
t0 = systime(1)
w = where(array gt 0.5,count)
print,'time elapsed for where function array of struct',-t0 + systime(1)
```