
Subject: Re: Convolution, IDL & Numerical Recipes
Posted by [James Kuyper](#) on Fri, 01 Nov 2002 01:10:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

...

- > Gonzales and Woods seem to suggest that "convolution" is a
- > frequency domain concept, and can only be loosely applied in
- > the linear spatial sense. Could this be part of the problem?

The convolution isn't specifically a frequency-domain concept. In fact, as I'm usually seen it, the canonical definition is in the time domain. $cgh(t)$ is the convolution of $g(t)$ and $h(t)$ if:

$$cgh(t) = \int g(\tau)h(t-\tau) d\tau$$

The importance of the frequency domain for convolutions is that it can be proven that if $G(f)$, $H(f)$, and $CGH(f)$ are the fourier transforms of $g(t)$, $h(t)$, and $cfg(t)$ respectively, then:

$$CGH(f) = G(f)*H(f)$$

If they'd been thinking in the frequency domain, there's no way they'd have named it using a word that is closely related to "convoluted".
