
Subject: Re: Displaying 3-D vector fields

Posted by [jim.blackwell](#) on Thu, 14 Nov 2002 17:03:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Rick Towler" <rtowler@u.washington.edu> wrote in message

news:<[aqu1qc\\$28se\\$1@nntp6.u.washington.edu](mailto:aqu1qc$28se$1@nntp6.u.washington.edu)>...

> "Jim" <jim.blackwell@gsfc.nasa.gov> wrote

>>

>> forgive me for being such a doofus, but shouldn't the mag and loc

>> arrays be 3-D ?

>

> You tell me... Well I guess you just did. The 3rd dimension is time, I

> presume. That doesn't change anything except how you subscript your data.

>

> Say you have 100 samples from 100 data points. Your array will be in a form

> similar to [point,sample,values] or [100,100,3] where the last dimension is

> your x,y,z or u,v,w depending on if we're talking about your location array

> or magnitude array. Is that correct?

>

> In my example, I assumed 1 sample from 100 points [100,1,3] which I

> simplified to [100,3]. You can change the subscripts in the example to work

> with your data set. [n,*] would become something like [n,0,*] for your

> first sample, [n,1,*] for your second and so on.

>

>

>

>> Would it be as simple as just adding this code to the

>> existing code set for creating the vector object ?

>

> I don't understand what you are asking. But if you are asking if you should

> add this code to the vector object. No. Stick with my example.

>

> -Rick

Rick,

Okay I get it now Thank God ! I seem to be running into a memory problem in trying to display 18K vectors at a time though ? I don't get any indication of this, but it bombs after some number less than that number of vectors

Jim
