
Subject: Re: Problems getting CURVEFIT to work
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Wed, 13 Nov 2002 01:14:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jonathan Greenberg <greenberg@ucdavis.edu> writes:

> Hi there, I'm trying to use CURVEFIT to fit data to a decay function of the
> form:
> $f(x) = a(1 - e^{(bx)}) + c$

Problem 1. Your parameters A and C are very highly (anti) correlated with each other. It would be better to recast as $A \cdot \text{EXP}(B \cdot X) + C$.

> My code is as follows:
>
> pro decayfunc, X,A,F,pder
> bx=EXP(A[1]*X)
> F=A[0]*(1-bx)+A[2]
> if N_PARAMS() GE 4 THEN \$
> pder=[[1-bx],[-A[0]*X*bx],[replicate(1.0,N_ELEMENTS(X))]]
> end
> X=[30185.0,33897.0,35089.0,35377.0,35665.0]
> Y=[0.3002,1.3849,1.3004,1.226,1.3118]
> A=[1.25,-1.0,-0.1]

Problem 2. Your initial value of "B" of -1 is not a good choice. When the fitter tries to evaluate $\text{EXP}(-1.0 \cdot 30185.)$ the result is zero. A better choice would be about -1./30000.

Problem 3. Your data don't look very exponential to me! There is just one low point. You are going to have to live with some very large confidence intervals...

> weight=[1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0]
> yfit=CURVEFIT[X,Y,weights,A,SIGMA,FUNCTION_NAME='decayfunc', /DOUBLE]

Suggestion. It might be worth trying MPCURVEFIT or MPFITFUN from my web page. The fitting routines appear to be much more robust than the stock CURVEFIT.

Good luck,
Craig

<http://cow.physics.wisc.edu/~craigm/idl/idl.html> (under curve fitting)

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
