Subject: Re: IDL vs AWK input

Posted by cees on Wed, 20 Nov 2002 09:18:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Jason Quinn wrote:

- > <IDL RANT ON>
- > Wouldn't IDL be a trillion times better if it handled reading input in
- > a style similar to awk, i.e. using \$1, \$2, etc., for reading in
- > columns? IDL seems overdependant on format codes and the number of
- > lines in a file. Also I'm sick of asking people

So are they probably. Why don't you read they manual are try google instead ???

how to do something

- > simple with file input/output and instead of them telling me how to do
- > it *in IDL* they direct me to some Joe Blow's webpage with a script
- > that does it. I don't want some guy's script, I want to know how to do
- > it in IDL.

So learn it. And stop whining!

Am I a troll? No. I'm just fed-up with IDL's wacky syntax

- > and half-baked feel and I needed to vent. IDL feels like a shaky house
- > of cards. I know the way to do the things above, EOF, etc., but my
- > point is that it's a poorly designed language.

SOLUTION: quit using it. There are alternatives IDL doesn't have a monopoly, so you're free to try something else.

As a casual user. I

- > basically need to relearn it every month or two because I've forgotten
- > it in the meantime.

Ah, but you do remember to switch on the computer ???

The language is difficult to remember because it

> makes no sense.

SOLUTION: write your own programming language. (That always makes sense to you.)

- > <IDL RANT OFF>
- >
- > Jason

Cees